

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/535,105		03/24/2000	. Robert G. Arsen	ault .	PD-980199	1776
20991	7590	04/04/2006	•	•	EXAMINER	
THE DIRECTV GROUP INC					VU, NGOC K	
	PATENT DOCKET ADMINISTRATION RE/R11/A109 P O BOX 956				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EL SEGUI	EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245-0956				2623	
				•	DATE MAILED: 04/04/200	06

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	055' 4 - 4' 0	09/535,105	ARSENAULT ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ngoc K. Vu	2623					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	anuary 2006						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 January 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
	·—							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	,						
	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · ·	_							
	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement						
		r election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the b	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
	application from the International Bureau		· ·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		·	·					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom (pproduct) (1 10-102)					

Art Unit: 2623

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 1/26/06 with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant merely argues that Herz does not teach mapping fuzzy variables onto at least three dimensional profiles surface. This argument is not persuasive based on the following reasons.

First, Herz teaches that a three-dimensional customer profile includes three characteristic variables such as "Romance", "High-tech", and "Violence" (see col. 10, lines 15-20; col. 22, lines 40-45; col. 41, lines 57-64). Applicant further asserts that their variable values could form a single point in a three-dimensional space, but cannot form a three-dimensional profile surface. However, this argument is not relevant to the recited limitation. According to the specification, there are two input variables, i.e., Comedy and Romance, or any number of different input variables could be used, thereby creating a multi-dimensional profile surface (see specification: page 18, lines 8-14). From this view, Herz's customer profile is three-dimensional customer profile since each of characteristics "Romance", "High-tech", and "Violence" represents a variable.

Second, according to the specification, an example shows that an object with Romance = 4 and Comedy = 8 would map to a desirability of six (see specification: page 24, lines 4-7). From this view, calculating ac value includes the feature of associating the cp values with characteristic variables "Romance", "High-Tech", and "Violence" in Herz's system. For example, program Terminator II has cp values for "Romance" is 0.0 (Romance = 0.0), 10.0 for "High-Tech" (High-Tech = 10.0), and 8.0 for "Violence" (Violence = 8.0). It is recognized that the system calculates ac value as calculating "a first priority value". For example, program Terminator II with Romance = 0.0, High-Tech = 10.0, and Violence = 8.0, the ac value is

Page 3

Art Unit: 2623

determined as 0.429 for customer John. That means determining of ac value or "priority value" for a customer based on cp values of a program. Herz further shows that it is evident that John prefers "Terminator II" program because its ac value is the highest value from the agreement matrix. This is the result that would have been determined from the profiles (see col. 22, lines 25-51). Accordingly, calculating ac value encompasses the feature of mapping the cp values onto customer profile to determine "priority value".

Applicant further argues that Lazarus does not disclose or suggest mapping fuzzy variables to an at least three dimensional profile surface. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

With respect to new added claims 15-26, the objection and rejection are addressed below.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 15, 18, and 21 are objected to because of the following informalities: the terms "remaining dimension" are not previously recited in the claims, therefore, the terms "the remaining dimension" are suggested to change into "a remaining dimension" or "one dimension". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2623

4. Claims 17, 20, 23, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Each claim recites the limitation "...wherein the level of the two or more input variables is different than the level of the two or more input variables used to determine the first desirability". It is unclear whether "level of the two or more input variables" for determining "second desirability" is different than "level of the two or more input variables" for determining first desirability not. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Herz et al. (US 6,088,722 A).

Regarding claim 1, Herz discloses a method for selecting a first digital object (a video/data program) display in an electronic television program guide:

receiving the first digital object (video/data program) from DBS (see col. 46, lines 54-56; col. 42, lines 22-23; col. 48, lines 49-51 and figure 9);

determining first and second fuzzy variable values (cp values) associated with the first digital object (determining first and second cp values associated with video/data program, for

example, cp values of program number 4 "Terminator II" from content profiles as shown in table in column 21 are "0.0" for "romance", "10.0" for "high-tech" and "8.0" for "violence" — see content profiles in col. 21 and col. 22, lines 25-35);

calculating a first priority value by mapping the first and second fuzzy variable values onto an at least three dimensional profile surface (with three variables "Romance", "High-tech", and "Violence") adapted for determining preferences associated with a television viewer (i.e., John) (For example, program Terminator II has cp values for "Romance" is 0.0 (Romance = 0.0), 10.0 for "High-Tech" (High-Tech = 10.0), and 8.0 for "Violence" (Violence = 8.0). It is recognized that the system calculates ac value as calculating "a first priority value". For example, program Terminator II with Romance = 0.0, High-Tech = 10.0, and Violence = 8.0, the ac value is determined as 0.429 for customer John. That means determining of ac value or "priority value" for a customer based on cp values of a program. Herz further shows that it is evident that John prefers "Terminator II" program because its ac value is the highest value from the agreement matrix. This is the result that would have been determined from the profiles (see col. 22, lines 25-51). Accordingly, calculating ac value encompasses the feature of mapping the cp values onto customer profile to determine "priority value". See col. 22, lines 40-45; col. 41, lines 57-64);

comparing the first priority to a predefined threshold (comparing AC value to a predefined threshold "1" – see col. 22, lines 26-57 and col. 24, lines 3-7); and

selecting the first digital object for display in the electronic television program guide if the first priority crosses the predefined threshold (selecting the program if its AC value is the closest to "1" for display in the program guide – see col. 22, lines 40-51; col. 24-3-7; col. 23, lines 51-64; col. 26, lines 5-15; col. 42, lines 11-18; col. 47, lines 9-17).

Regarding claim 2, Herz discloses setting the predefined threshold to the first priority (from the agreement matrix, the program with highest AC value or the AC value most approaching to "1" indicates that user most prefers that program, for example, user John prefers program "Terminator II" since its AC value is 0.429 which most approaches to "1". In other words, this feature includes setting the predefined threshold "1" to the AC value to determine the program that user prefers - see table agreement matrix in column 22; col. 22, lines 47-51);

receiving a second digital object receiving the first digital object (video/data program) from DBS (see col. 46, lines 54-56; col. 42, lines 22-23; col. 48, lines 49-51 and figure 9);

determining third and fourth fuzzy variable values (cp values) associated with the second digital object (determining third and forth cp values associated with video/data program, for example, cp values of program number 3 "Forever Young" from content profiles as shown in table in column 21 are "8.0" for "romance", "3.0" for "high-tech", and "0.0" for "violence" – see content profiles in col. 21 and col. 22, lines 25-35);

calculating a second priority value by mapping the third and forth fuzzy variable values onto an at least three dimensional profile surface (with three variables "Romance", "High-tech", and "Violence") adapted for determining preferences associated with a television viewer (i.e., Mary) (For example, program "Forever Young" has cp values for "Romance" is 8.0 (Romance = 8.0), 3.0 for "High-Tech" (High-Tech = 3.0), and 0.0 for "Violence" (Violence = 0.0). It is recognized that the system calculates ac value as calculating "a first priority value". For example, program "Forever Young" with Romance = 8.0, High-Tech = 3.0, and Violence = 0.0, the ac value is determined as 0.774 for customer Mary. That means determining of ac value or "priority value" for a customer based on cp values of a program. Herz further shows that it is evident that Mary prefers "Forever Young" program because its ac value is the highest value from the agreement matrix. This is the result that would have been determined from the profiles

Art Unit: 2623

(see col. 22, lines 25-51). Accordingly, calculating ac value encompasses the feature of mapping the cp values onto customer profile to determine "priority value". See col. 22, lines 40-45; col. 41, lines 57-64);

comparing the second priority to the predefined threshold (comparing AC value to the predefined threshold "1" – see col. 22, lines 26-57 and col. 24, lines 3-7); and

selecting the second digital object for display in the electronic television program guide if the first priority crosses the predefined threshold (selecting the program if its AC value is the closest to "1" for display in the program guide – see col. 22, lines 40-51; col. 24-3-7; col. 23, lines 51-64; col. 26, lines 5-15; col. 42, lines 11-18; col. 47, lines 9-17).

Regarding claim **3**, Herz teaches displaying the first digital object in the television program guide (see col. 47, lines 9-13; col. 23, lines 50-64).

Regarding claim **5**, Herz teaches selecting a number (highest AC value) based on the comparison between the first priority value and the predefined threshold, and associating the display of the first digital object with the selected number (display the program with highest AC value in the program guide - see col. 22, lines 47-51; col. 23, lines 51-64; col. 47, lines 9-18).

Regarding claim **6**, Herz teaches that the program comprises an advertising (see col. 49, lines 37-40).

Regarding claim **9**, Herz discloses an apparatus for displaying a first digital object (a video/data program) in an electronic television program guide comprising:

a receiver (within set top terminal) that receives the first digital object from DBS (see col. 46, lines 54-56; col. 42, lines 22-23; col. 48, lines 49-51 and figure 9);

a controller (906) for determining first and second fuzzy variable values (cp values) associated with the first digital object (determining first and second cp values associated with video/data program, for example, cp values of program number 4 "Terminator II" from content

profiles as shown in table in column 21 are "0.0" for "romance", "10.0" for "high-tech" and "8.0" for "violence" - see content profiles in col. 21 and col. 22, lines 25-35), the controller calculating a first priority value by mapping the first and second fuzzy variable values onto an at least three dimensional profile surface adapted for determining preferences associated with a television viewer (i.e., John) (For example, program Terminator II has cp values for "Romance" is 0.0 (Romance = 0.0), 10.0 for "High-Tech" (High-Tech = 10.0), and 8.0 for "Violence" (Violence = 8.0). It is recognized that the system calculates ac value as calculating "a first priority value". For example, program Terminator II with Romance = 0.0, High-Tech = 10.0, and Violence = 8.0, the ac value is determined as 0.429 for customer John. That means determining of ac value or "priority value" for a customer based on cp values of a program. Herz further shows that it is evident that John prefers "Terminator II" program because its ac value is the highest value from the agreement matrix. This is the result that would have been determined from the profiles (see col. 22, lines 25-51). Accordingly, calculating ac value encompasses the feature of mapping the cp values onto customer profile to determine "priority value". See col. 22, lines 40-45; col. 41, lines 57-64), the controller comparing the first priority value to a predefined threshold (comparing AC value to a predefined threshold "1" - see col. 22, lines 26-57 and col. 24, lines 3-7 and figure 9), and

a display (TV), the controller (906) causing the display to present the first digital object in the electronic television program guide if the first priority crosses the predefined threshold (presenting the program in the program guide if its AC value is the closest to "1" – see col. 22, lines 40-51; col. 24-3-7; col. 23, lines 51-64; col. 26, lines 5-15; col. 42, lines 11-18; col. 47, lines 9-17).

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding **claim 10**, Herz teaches the receiver is further adapted to receive a second digital object from DBS (see col. 46, lines 54-56; col. 42, lines 22-23; col. 48, lines 49-51 and figure 9);

the controller is further adapted to determine third and fourth fuzzy variable values associated with the second digital object (determining third and forth cp values associated with video/data program, for example, cp values of program number 3 "Forever Young" from content profiles as shown in table in column 21 are "8.0" for "romance", "3.0" for "high-tech" and "0.0" for "violence" – see content profiles in col. 21 and col. 22, lines 25-35); and

the controller is further adapted to determine the predefined threshold by mapping the third and fourth fuzzy variable values onto the three dimensional profile surface (For example, program "Forever Young" has cp values for "Romance" is 8.0 (Romance = 8.0), 3.0 for "High-Tech" (High-Tech = 3.0), and 0.0 for "Violence" (Violence = 0.0). It is recognized that the system calculates ac value as calculating "a first priority value". For example, program "Forever Young" with Romance = 8.0, High-Tech = 3.0, and Violence = 0.0, the ac value is determined as 0.774 for customer Mary. That means determining of ac value or "priority value" for a customer based on cp values of a program. Herz further shows that it is evident that Mary prefers "Forever Young" program because its ac value is the highest value from the agreement matrix. This is the result that would have been determined from the profiles (see col. 22, lines 25-51; col. 41, lines 57-64). That means the system determines the desirability by comparing the ac value with the threshold "1". Accordingly, calculating ac value encompasses the feature of mapping the cp values onto customer profile to determine "priority value".)

Regarding claim 12, Herz teaches that the controller is adapted to select a number (highest AC value) based on the comparison between the first priority value and the predefined threshold, and associate the display of the first digital object with the selected number (display

the program with highest AC value in the program guide - see col. 22, lines 47-51; col. 23, lines 51-64; col. 47, lines 9-18).

Regarding claim **15**, Herz teaches that the customer profile comprises three input variables such as "Romance", "High-Tech", and "Violence", and desirability such as cp, sv, or wv value (see col. 21, lines 25-35; col. 9, lines 58-64; col. 11, lines 27-28). Accordingly, customer profile of Herz's system is three dimensional profile surface.

Regarding claim **16**, Herz teaches determining a first desirability value associated with two or more input variables, i.e., each of cp, sv, and wv values illustrates the level characteristics variables "Romance", "High-Tech", and/or "Violence" (see col. 21, lines 25-35; col. 9, lines 58-64; col. 11, lines 27-28).

Regarding claim **21**, Herz teaches that the customer profile comprises three input variables such as "Romance", "High-Tech", and "Violence", and desirability such as cp, sv, or wv value (see col. 21, lines 25-35; col. 9, lines 58-64; col. 11, lines 27-28). Accordingly, customer profile of Herz's system is three dimensional profile surface.

Regarding claim **22**, Herz teaches determining a first desirability value associated with two or more input variables, i.e., each of cp, sv, and wv values illustrates the level characteristics variables "Romance", "High-Tech", and/or "Violence" (see col. 21, lines 25-35; col. 9, lines 58-64; col. 11, lines 27-28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herz et al. (US 6,088,722 A) in view of Lemmons (US 6,481,011 B1).

Regarding claims **4 and 11**, Herz teaches comparison between the first priority value (AC value) and the predefined threshold ("1") and displaying program in the program guide with highlighting (col. 22, lines 26-57 and col. 24, lines 3-7; col. 47, lines 11-18). Herz does not explicitly teach associating the display of the first digital object with a selected color based on the comparison. However, Lemmons discloses associating the display of program information with a selected color based on the comparison between the display priority criteria. The program information may include the title of the program, a scheduled broadcast time, advertising information ... etc. - see col. 9, lines 2-33; col. 3, lines 46-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Herz by associating the display of program information with a selected color based on the comparison between the display priority criteria as disclosed by Lemmons in order to highlight programming of the type the user likes.

9. Claims 7, 8, 13, 14, 18, 19, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in view of Herz et al. (US 6,088,722 A) in view of Lazarus et al. (US 5,652,613 A).

Regarding claim **7**, Herz teaches a method for selecting a first digital object (video/data program) associated with an electronic television program guide, the method comprising:

receiving the first digital object (video/data program) from DBS (see col. 46, lines 54-56; col. 42, lines 22-23; col. 48, lines 49-51 and figure 9);

determining first and second fuzzy variable values associated with the first digital object (determining first and second cp values associated with video/data program, for example, cp

Page 12

values of program number 2 "Damnation Alley" from content profiles as shown in table in column 21 are "5.0" for "romance" and "0.0" for "high-tech" – see content profiles in col. 21 and col. 22, lines 25-35);

calculating a first priority value by mapping the first and second fuzzy variable values onto an at least three dimensional profile surface (with three variables such as "romance", "hightech" and "violence") ((i.e., John) (For example, program "Damnation Alley" has cp values for "Romance" is 5.0 (Romance = 5.0), 0.0 for "High-Tech" (High-Tech = 0.0), and 1.0 for "Violence" (Violence = 1.0). It is recognized that the system calculates ac value as calculating "a first priority value". For example, program Terminator II with Romance = 5.0, High-Tech = 0.0, and Violence = 1.0, the ac value is determined as 0.131 for customer John. That means determining of ac value or "priority value" for a customer based on cp values of a program. Herz further shows that it is evident that John least likes "Damnation Alley" program because its ac value is the lowest value from the agreement matrix. This is the result that would have been determined from the profiles (see col. 22, lines 25-51). Accordingly, calculating ac value encompasses the feature of mapping the cp values onto customer profile to determine "priority value". See col. 22, lines 40-45; col. 41, lines 57-64);

comparing the first priority value to a predefined threshold (comparing AC value to a predefined threshold "0" – see col. 22, lines 26-57 and col. 24, lines 3-7).

As addressed above, Herz teaches that the programs having the AC values which approach to "1" indicate that the viewer most prefers these programs so that they are selected for display in the program guide. On the other hand, a program having the lowest AC value which approaches to "0" indicates that the viewer least likes that program. For example, viewer John prefers program number 4 and least likes program number 2 as shown in table agreement matrix in column 22 and col. 22, lines 47-51.

Herz does not teach deleting program unlikely to be used from a memory or deleting the unused information from the memory. However, Lazarus discloses that a memory management system deletes the least valuable information to free memory space. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Herz by deleting the least valuable information or the unused information from the memory as taught by Lazarus in order to free memory space.

Regarding claim **8**, Herz discloses setting the predefined threshold to the first priority (from the agreement matrix, the program with lowest AC value or the AC value most approaching to "0" indicates that user least prefers that program, for example, user John least prefers program "Damnation Alley" since its AC value is 0.131 which most approaches to "0". In other words, this feature includes setting the predefined threshold "0" to the AC value to determine the program that user least prefers - see table agreement matrix in column 22; col. 22, lines 47-51);

receiving a second digital object receiving the first digital object (video/data program) from DBS (see col. 46, lines 54-56; col. 42, lines 22-23; col. 48, lines 49-51 and figure 9);

determining third and fourth fuzzy variable values (cp values) associated with the second digital object (determining third and forth cp values associated with video/data program, for example, cp values of program number 3 "Forever Young" from content profiles as shown in table in column 21 are "8.0" for "romance", "3.0" for "high-tech", and "0.0" for "violence" – see content profiles in col. 21 and col. 22, lines 25-35);

calculating a second priority value by mapping the third and forth fuzzy variable values onto an at least three dimensional profile surface (with three variables such as "romance", "high-tech" and "violence") adapted for determining preferences associated with a television viewer (i.e., user "Mary") For example, program "Forever Young" has cp values for "Romance" is 8.0

Art Unit: 2623

(Romance = 8.0), 3.0 for "High-Tech" (High-Tech = 3.0), and 0.0 for "Violence" (Violence = 0.0). It is recognized that the system calculates ac value as calculating "a first priority value". For example, program "Forever Young" with Romance = 8.0, High-Tech = 3.0, and Violence = 0.0, the ac value is determined as 0.774 for customer Mary. That means determining of ac value or "priority value" for a customer based on cp values of a program. Herz further shows that it is evident that Mary prefers "Forever Young" program because its ac value is the highest value from the agreement matrix. This is the result that would have been determined from the profiles (see col. 22, lines 25-51). Accordingly, calculating ac value encompasses the feature of mapping the cp values onto customer profile to determine "priority value". See col. 22, lines 40-45; col. 41, lines 57-64);

comparing the second priority to the predefined threshold (comparing AC value to the threshold "1" – see col. 22, lines 26-57 and col. 24, lines 3-7); and

selecting the second digital object for display in the electronic television program guide if the first priority crosses the predefined threshold (selecting the program if its AC value is the closest to "1" for display in the program guide – see col. 22, lines 40-51; col. 24-3-7; col. 23, lines 51-64; col. 26, lines 5-15; col. 42, lines 11-18; col. 47, lines 9-17).

Regarding claim **13**, Herz discloses an apparatus for displaying a first digital object (a video/data program) in an electronic television program guide comprising:

a receiver (within set top terminal) that receives the first digital object from DBS (see col. 46, lines 54-56; col. 42, lines 22-23; col. 48, lines 49-51 and figure 9);

a controller (906) for determining first and second fuzzy variable values (cp values) associated with the first digital object (determining first and second cp values associated with video/data program, for example, cp values of program number 2 "Damnation Alley" from content profiles as shown in table in column 21 are "5.0" for "romance" and "0.0" for "high-tech"

- see content profiles in col. 21 and col. 22, lines 25-35), the controller calculating a first priority value by mapping the first and second fuzzy variable values onto an at least three dimensional profile surface (with three variables such as "romance", "high-tech" and "violence") adapted for determining preferences associated with a television viewer (i.e., user "John") (For example, program "Damnation Alley" has cp values for "Romance" is 5.0 (Romance = 5.0), 0.0 for "High-Tech" (High-Tech = 0.0), and 1.0 for "Violence" (Violence = 1.0). It is recognized that the system calculates ac value as calculating "a first priority value". For example, program Terminator II with Romance = 5.0, High-Tech = 0.0, and Violence = 1.0, the ac value is determined as 0.131 for customer John. That means determining of ac value or "priority value" for a customer based on cp values of a program. Herz further shows that it is evident that John least likes "Damnation Alley" program because its ac value is the lowest value from the agreement matrix. This is the result that would have been determined from the profiles (see col. 22, lines 25-51). Accordingly, calculating ac value encompasses the feature of mapping the cp values onto customer profile to determine "priority value". See col. 22, lines 40-45; col. 41, lines 57-64), the controller comparing the first priority to a predefined threshold (comparing AC value to a predefined threshold "0" - see col. 22, lines 26-57 and col. 24, lines 3-7), and a memory (within the set top terminal) coupled to the controller (906) (see figure 9).

As addressed above, Herz teaches that the programs having the AC values which approach to "1" indicate that the viewer most prefers these programs so that they are selected for display in the program guide. On the other hand, a program having the lowest AC value which approaches to "0" indicates that the viewer least likes that program. For example, viewer John prefers program number 4 and least likes program number 2 as shown in table agreement matrix in column 22 and col. 22, lines 47-51.

Herz does not teach deleting program unlikely to be used from a memory or deleting the unused information from the memory. However, Lazarus discloses that a memory management system deletes the least valuable information to free memory space. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Herz by deleting the least valuable information or the unused information from the memory as taught by Lazarus in order to free memory space.

Regarding claim 14, Herz teaches the receiver is further adapted to receive a second digital object from DBS (see col. 46, lines 54-56; col. 42, lines 22-23; col. 48, lines 49-51 and figure 9);

the controller is further adapted to determine third and fourth fuzzy variable values associated with the second digital object (determining third and forth cp values associated with video/data program, for example, cp values of program number 1 "Star Trek" from content profiles as shown in table in column 21 are "2.0" for "romance" and "9.0" for "high-tech" – see content profiles in col. 21 and col. 22, lines 25-35); and

the controller is further adapted to determine the predefined threshold by mapping the third and fourth fuzzy variable values onto the profile surface (determining AC value by associating the cp values of the program with an at least three dimensional customer profile included three variables such as "romance", "high-tech" and "violence", then comparing AC value with threshold "0" - see col. 22, lines 40-45; col. 41, lines 57-64).

Regarding claim **18**, Herz teaches that the customer profile comprises three input variables such as "Romance", "High-Tech", and "Violence", and desirability such as cp, sv, or wv value (see col. 21, lines 25-35; col. 9, lines 58-64; col. 11, lines 27-28). Accordingly, customer profile of Herz's system is three dimensional profile surface.

Regarding claim **19**, Herz teaches determining a first desirability value associated with two or more input variables, i.e., each of cp, sv, and wv values illustrates the level characteristics variables "Romance", "High-Tech", and/or "Violence" (see col. 21, lines 25-35; col. 9, lines 58-64; col. 11, lines 27-28).

Regarding claim **24**, Herz teaches that the customer profile comprises three input variables such as "Romance", "High-Tech", and "Violence", and desirability such as cp, sv, or wv value (see col. 21, lines 25-35; col. 9, lines 58-64; col. 11, lines 27-28). Accordingly, customer profile of Herz's system is three dimensional profile surface.

Regarding claim **25**, Herz teaches determining a first desirability value associated with two or more input variables, i.e., each of cp, sv, and wv values illustrates the level characteristics variables "Romance", "High-Tech", and/or "Violence" (see col. 21, lines 25-35; col. 9, lines 58-64; col. 11, lines 27-28).

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 17, 20, 23, and 26 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoc K. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-7306. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. It is important to note that Art Unit 2611 has been changed into Art Unit 2623.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ngoc K. Vu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2623

ngolm

March 31, 2006